

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,041	07/10/2007	Yoshitsugu Morita	DC10032PCT (71,051-071)	3380
27305 7590 02162011 HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS PLLC 450 West Fourth Street			EXAMINER	
			HUDA, SAEED M	
Royal Oak, MI 48067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/16/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/599,041	MORITA ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
SAEED M. HUDA	1742			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SK (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expres SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the ast or extended period for reply will, by statuto, cause the application to become ABANDONED (68 U.S.C. 933). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned pattern adjustment. See 37 CPR1. 70(fb). 				
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 January 2011.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 10 is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
••				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) flied on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) flied on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of:				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some older of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some older of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	 Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date .	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/599,041 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

 The response filed on 01/18/2011 has been fully considered and entered into the record. Claims 1-10 are pending in the instant application. Claim 10 is withdrawn from consideration as directed to non-elected subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyajima et al. (US 2002/0015748 A1) in view of Lee et al. (EP-A-0 99798).
 - a. Regarding claim 1, Miyajima et al. teach a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device sealed in a cured resin body by placing an unsealed semiconductor device into a mold and subjecting a curable resin composition that fills the spaces between the mold and the unsealed semiconductor device to compression molding under a predetermined molding temperature ([0001] and figure 1). Miyajima et al. fail to teach the use of a liquid silicon composition, wherein the viscosity at room temperature is of 90 Pa·s or less or the claimed time interval.

Lee et al. teach a compression set of a hydrosilylation-curable liquid silicone composition used in cured injection moldable compositions (abstract). Lee et al. go on to teach that the silicon composition has a viscosity of less than 90 Pa·s at room temperature (paragraph 66 and examples 1-2) and is suitable for the encapsulation of chip scale packages (paragraph 19). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Miyajima et al. by selecting the invention of Lee et al. because this will yield desirable properties such as low alpha particle emissions, very good moisture resistance, excellent electrical insulation, excellent thermal stability, and very high ionic purity ([0002]).

Applicant states that the curable silicone composition may be comprised at least of the following components:

- an organopolysiloxane having at least two alkenyl groups per molecule;
- an organopolysiloxane having at least two silicon-bonded hydrogen atoms per molecule;
- a platinum-type catalyst;
- a filler ([0068]).

Applicant also teach that the curing temperature of the silicone is from $50\,^\circ\!\!C-150\,^\circ\!\!C$ ([0067])

Lee et al. teach the silicone composition can be made of:

 polydiorgonasiloxane containing an average of at least two siliconbonded alkenyl groups per molecule (page 4, lines 9-10) (similar to that of Applicant's invention) ([0022]) Application/Control Number: 10/599,041 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

 an organohydrogen polysiloxane having average of at least three silicon-bonded hydrogen atoms per molecule and an average of not more than one silicone-bonded hydrogen atom per molecule ([0041])

- a platinum group metal compound that promotes the addition reaction of the above components([0057])
- an inorganic filler ([0063])

Lee et al. teach that the curing temperature of the silicone is from 100% - 150% ([0070])

Therefore, there are significant similarities between the type of silicone and curing temperature of the invention of Applicant and Lee et al.

Mivaiima et al. in view of Lee et al. fail to teach the claimed time interval.

The time interval required for a silicone sample to go from one torque to another torque is dependent on cure temperature and the silicone composition.

Therefore this is a result effective variable. Consequently, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have conducted routine experimentation to determine the optimum time interval required for a silicone sample to go from one torque to another torque in order to obtain the desired cure temperature and the silicone composition.

 Regarding claims 2-3, Miyajima et al. in view of Lee et al. teach that the silicone composition is a hydrosilylation-curable liquid silicone composition (Lee Application/Control Number: 10/599,041

Art Unit: 1742

et al. paragraphs 57-58) and that the cured silicone has a modulus of elasticity of 1 GPa or less (Lee et al. table 1):

Page 5

- c. Regarding claim 4, Miyajima et al. teach clamping the semiconductor device between the upper mold and the lower mold, and compression molding the adopted resin (figure 2).
- Regarding claim 5, Miyajima et al. teach that the obtained sealed assembly is cut into separate sealed semiconductor devices (figure 5).
- e. Regarding claim 6, Miyajima et al. teach that the semiconductor device comprises chips 10 on a printed circuit board 12 (substrate) ([0045] and figure 1) and where the chips are electrically connected via bonding wires ([0088]).
- f. Regarding claim 7, Lee et al. teaches that the silicone composition exhibits rapid flow around and/or under a silicon chip ([0018]) and, as stated above, the chip 10 is placed on the printed circuit board 10 and the chips are electrically connected via bonding wires. Thus, the silicone would be applied onto the surface that supports the semiconductor chips of the printed circuit board.
- g. Regarding claims 8-9, Miyajima et al. teach the use of release films (paragraph 50) held against the inner surface of the mold by air suction (paragraph 12).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/599,041

Art Unit: 1742

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection, to the extent that the arguments are applicable to the new grounds of rejection; they are addressed below.

As to the Improper Finality of the Instant Office Action

Applicant request that the finality of the previous office action be reviewed. The Examiner has, herein, issued another non-final rejection.

As to the New Rejection of Claims 1-9 Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) Over Miyajima et al. in View of Lee et al. That Rely Upon Principles of Inherency

Applicant states that the instant case is not on in which there is a question of whether a new property of an old product is being claimed, instead, the instant case pertains to discovery of previoulsy unidentified curing parameters of a curable liquid silicone composition. In response, the Examiner has issued a rejection stating that the the time interval required for a silicone sample to go from one torque to another torque is dependent on cure temperature and the silicone composition. Therefore this is a result effective variable.

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAEED M. HUDA whose telephone number is 571.270.5514. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on 571.272.1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/SAEED M. HUDA/ Examiner, Art Unit 1742

/Christina Johnson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1742